PATENT COOPERATION TREAT

PCT

			L	
ANKOM	02	MAR	2005	GIPS

DATE

CODE

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treatment

			•	FINAL
		PCT Article 3	6 and Rule 70	CHECK
	•	(PC) Allicie	o and ridic 70	,
Applicant's or agent's file r	ratarence T			
100807-1 WO	ieletetiko	FOR FURTHER	CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416
		International filing date	(dayboothkeer)	Priority date (day/month/year)
International application No. International filing date 11.02.2004		: (Оаулпониува)	13.02.2003	
PCT/GB2004/000550				10.02.2500
International Patent Class	International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC			
A61K31/4745, A61K3	31 <i>1</i> 513, A61K31.	<i>5</i> 17		
	<u></u>			
Applicant				
ASTRAZENECA AB	et ai			
- This was a dia dha	international proli	minary examination	eport, established by t	his International Preliminary Examining
This report is the Authority under A	rticle 35 and trans	smitted to the applica	nt according to Article	36.
		f 6 sheets, including		
-		ANNEXES, compris		
3. This report is also	annlicant and to	the International Bur	eau) a total of sheets,	as follows:
[T] -14-	- of the description	a alaime and br draw	ings which have been	amended and are the basis of this report
☐ sheets and/or	s of the description r sheets containin	g rectifications autho	rized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the
Admin	nistrative Instruction	ons).		
☐ sheets	s which supersed	e earlier sheets, but v	which this Authority cor	siders contain an amendment that goes dicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the
beyon	id the disclosure i emental Box.	n the international ap	plication as filed, as in	dicated in item 4 of Box 110. I and the
. 🗖 👉	- Intomotional Ru	reau only) a total of (indicate type and numl	ber of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a
	licting and britable	as related thereto in	computer readable for	m only, as indicated in the Supplemental
Box Relati	ing to Sequence L	isting (see Section 8	02 of the Administrative	e instructions).
		- '		
4. This report contain		ating to the following		
	ins Indications rela	ating to the following		
⊠ Box No. I	ins indications rela	ating to the following		
⊠ Box No. I	ins indications rela Basis of the opini Priority	ating to the following	items:	
⊠ Box No. II □ Box No. II	ins indications rela Basis of the opini Priority Non-establishme	ating to the following ion int of opinion with reg	items:	e step and industrial applicability
☑ Box No. II ☐ Box No. III ☐ Box No. IV	ins indications rela Basis of the opini Priority Non-establishme Lack of unity of ir	ating to the following ion at of opinion with regoverntion	items: ard to novelty, inventive	e step and industrial applicability
☐ Box No. II☐ Box No. III☐ Box No. IV☐ Box No. IV☐ Box No. V	ins indications related the opinion of the opinion opin	ating to the following ion at the following into th	items: ard to novelty, inventive	e step and industrial applicability ty, inventive step or industrial
☐ Box No. II☐ Box No. III☐ Box No. IV☐ Box No. V	ins indications related the opinion of the opinion opin	ating to the following ion ent of opinion with regreention ment under Article 35(dions and explanation)	items: ard to novelty, inventive 2) with regard to novel	e step and industrial applicability ty, inventive step or industrial
Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V	ins indications related in the opinion of the opinion opini	ating to the following ion ent of opinion with regretation ment under Article 35(ions and explanation its cited	ard to novelty, inventive 2) with regard to novely supporting such states	e step and industrial applicability ty, inventive step or industrial
Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VI Box No. VII	ins indications related in the opinion of the opini	ating to the following ion int of opinion with regions and explanation its cited in the international app	ard to novelty, inventive 2) with regard to novel supporting such state	e step and industrial applicability ty, inventive step or industrial
Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VI Box No. VII	ins indications related to the opinion of the opini	ating to the following ion ent of opinion with regretation ment under Article 35(ions and explanation its cited	ard to novelty, inventive 2) with regard to novel supporting such state	e step and industrial applicability ty, inventive step or industrial
Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII	Basis of the opini Priority Non-establishme Lack of unity of ir Reasoned stater applicability; citat Certain documen Certain defects ir Certain observati	ating to the following ion int of opinion with regions and explanation its cited in the international app	ard to novelty, inventive 2) with regard to novel s supporting such state collication hal application	e step and industrial applicability ty, inventive step or industrial ement
Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VI Box No. VII	Basis of the opini Priority Non-establishme Lack of unity of ir Reasoned stater applicability; citat Certain documen Certain defects ir Certain observati	ating to the following ion int of opinion with regions and explanation its cited in the international app	ard to novelty, inventive 2) with regard to novel supporting such state	e step and industrial applicability ty, inventive step or industrial ement
Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII	Basis of the opini Priority Non-establishme Lack of unity of ir Reasoned stater applicability; citat Certain documen Certain defects ir Certain observati	ating to the following ion int of opinion with regions and explanation its cited in the international app	ard to novelty, inventive 2) with regard to novel a supporting such state clication hal application Date of completion of the	e step and industrial applicability ty, inventive step or industrial ement
Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII	Basis of the opini Priority Non-establishme Lack of unity of ir Reasoned stater applicability; citat Certain documen Certain defects ir Certain observati	ating to the following ion int of opinion with regions and explanation its cited in the international app	ard to novelty, inventive 2) with regard to novel s supporting such state collication hal application	e step and industrial applicability ty, inventive step or industrial ement
Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII Date of submission of the c	ins indications related in the opinion of the opinion opin	ating to the following ion ion int of opinion with regionsent under Article 35(itons and explanation its cited in the international appoints on the internation	ard to novelty, inventive 2) with regard to novel s supporting such state collication hal application Date of completion of to 01.03.2005	e step and industrial applicability ty, inventive step or industrial ement
Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII Cate of submission of the of submission of the of submission gathers preliminary examining authors	Basis of the opini Priority Non-establishme Lack of unity of ir Reasoned statem applicability; citat Certain documen Certain defects in Certain observati demand	ating to the following ion ion int of opinion with regularition and explanation its cited in the international appoints on the internation	ard to novelty, inventive 2) with regard to novel a supporting such state clication hal application Date of completion of the	e step and industrial applicability ty, inventive step or industrial ement
Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII Cate of submission of the of submission of	Basis of the opini Priority Non-establishme Lack of unity of ir Reasoned statem applicability; citat Certain documen Certain defects ir Certain observati demand	ating to the following ion ion int of opinion with regularition and explanation its cited in the international appoints on the internation internation internation internation internation internation internation internation	ard to novelty, inventive 2) with regard to novel a supporting such state olication nal application Date of completion of to 01.03.2005 Authorized Officer	e step and industrial applicability ty, inventive step or industrial ement
Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Date of submission of the of submission of the of submission authorized and mailing address preliminary examining authorized Buropean Pox NII - 2280 HV	Basis of the opini Priority Non-establishme Lack of unity of ir Reasoned statem applicability; citat Certain documen Certain defects in Certain observati demand s of the international nority: atent Office - P.B. 5 / Rijswijk - Pays Bas 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 6	ating to the following ion ion int of opinion with regularition ment under Article 35(ions and explanation its cited in the international appoints on the international ions on the international international ions and explanation international international international international international international ions on the international	ard to novelty, inventive 2) with regard to novel s supporting such state collication hal application Date of completion of to 01.03.2005	e step and industrial applicability ty, inventive step or industrial ement his report

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/000550

_	Box	k No. I	Basis of the repo	rt	
1			d to the language , the sound of the sound		on the international application in the language in which it was
		This re	eport is based on training is the language of a	nslations from the or translation furnished	riginal language into the following language , d for the purposes of:
		☐ put	emational search (un plication of the intern emational preliminary	ational application (u	
2	have	e been	d to the elements* of furnished to the receptions finally filed* and a	eiving Office in respo	oplication, this report is based on (replacement sheets which onse to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this nis report):
	Desc	cription	, Pages		
	1-39			as originally filed	
	Clair	ms, Nun	nbers		
	1-14			as originally filed	
		a sequ	ence listing and/or at	ny related table(s) - s	see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing
3.			nendments have resi	ulted in the cancellati	tion of:
			description, pages claims, Nos.		
			drawings, sheets/figs sequence listing <i>(spe</i>		
			table(s) related to se		cify):
4.	had r	not bee	oort has been establi n made, since they h al Box (Rule 70.2(c))	nave been considere	the amendments annexed to this report and listed belowed to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the
	C E	☐ the d ☐ the d	description, pages claims, Nos. drawings, sheets/figs requence listing <i>(spe</i>	ecify).	
			table(s) related to se		eify):
	* T	fite	m 4 applies so	me or all of th	nese sheets may be marked "superseded "

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/000550

		x No. III Non-establishment o	of op	inion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial	
1.	The	ne questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- povious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:			
		the entire international application,			
	Ø	claims Nos. 14 with respect to industrial applicability			
		because:			
	Ø	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 14 with respect to industrial applicability relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):			
		see separate sheet			
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):			
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.			
		no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos.			
		the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:			
		the written form		has not been furnished	
				does not comply with the standard	
		the computer readable form		has not been furnished	
				does not comply with the standard	
		the tables related to the nucleo not comply with the technical re	tide a equin	and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do ements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.	
	П	See separate sheet for further	detai	Is	

!

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/000550

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-14

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-14

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-13

No: Claims

14 (see separate sheet)

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

PCT/GB2004/000550

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Claim 14 relates to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of this claim (Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT).

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1) The following document is referred to in this communication:

D1: WO 01/32651 A

2) NOVELTY

The subject-matter of claims 1-14 is not new in the sense of Article 33 (2) PCT. Document D1 already discloses the combined use of ZD6474 and 5-Fu or CPT-11 in antiangiogenic and/or vascular permeability reducing treatment.

3) INVENTIVE STEP

Should the Applicant nevertheless overcome the objections of lack of novelty raised above, then his attention is drawn to the fact that the present claims would not meet the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT, because the subject matter of the claims would not involve an inventive step.

The use of a combination of two or more active ingredients with known identical therapeutic use can only be considered as inventive when a surprising effect, an unexpected high synergistic effect or reduced side effects for example, can be assigned in relation to the claimed therapeutic use. In this respect, the present application lacks supportive evidence as the results of the comparative tests on pages 36 and 39 do not

International application No.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/GB2004/000550

show more then additive effects..

- 4) INDUSTRIAL APPLICABILITY
- 4.1) There are not doubts about the industrial applicability of claims 1-13.
- 4.2) For the assessment of the present claims 14 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.